

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/086,916 | Applicant(s) IYER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Prenell P. Jones | Art Unit 2616 | |

All Participants:

(1) Prenell P. Jones.

(2) Applicants Representative (Mr. Thomas Frame).

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

24, 26, 29

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet


Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Frame that claim 29 depends on canceled claim 26. Examiner further requested authorization to perform an examiner's amendment to change the dependency of claim 29. Mr. Frame authorized Examiner to change the dependency of claim 29, whereby claim 29 will now depend on claim 24..



CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER